UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DAVID KLUCKA, Plaintiff,)	Case No.: 2:15-cv-01658-RBF	F-PAL
v. CAESAR ALMASE,))))	ORDER ACCEPTING REPORTS OF MACGING PEGGY A. LEEN	ORT & GISTRATE
Defendant.)) _)		

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 9) of the Honorable Peggy A. Leen, United States Magistrate Judge, entered November 30, 2015.

A district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to "make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also* Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct "any review," *de novo* or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985).

Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by December 17, 2015. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge's recommendation(s).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 9) is ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

IT IS ORDERED that (ECF No. 6) Plaintiff David Klucka's Amended Complaint is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall serve this Order on the persons and respective addresses noted in the Report and Recommendation. The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly and close the case.

DATED this 17th day of February, 2016.

RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II United States District Court